



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/871,320 | 05/30/2001 | K. Douglas Gennetten | 10007460-1 | 1205 | | |
| 22879 75 | 22879 7590 07/12/2006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 112 221111 | ACKARD COMPAN | YE, LIN | | | | |
| P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | IS, CO 80527-2400 | | 2622 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|--|--|
| GENNETTEN ET AL. | | |
| Art Unit | | |
| 2622 | | |
| | | |

| | | LIII TE | 2022 | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE | REPLY FILED 26 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. 🗵 | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i | affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) | The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for | th in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. Ir |
| | no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | | • | |
| | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Exter | isions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exi | on which the petition under 37 CFR | 1.136(a) and the appropria | te extension fee |
| nave unde: | r 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s | tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply o | nt of the fee. The appropr | iate extension fee |
| set fo | orth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later | than three months after the mailing | date of the final rejection, | even if timely filed, |
| • | reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | • | • | • |
| | ICE OF APPEAL | | | |
| 2 | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), | to avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of the appeal. Since |
| AME | NDMENTS | within the time period set lorth in | 137 Cl K 41.37(a). | |
| | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I | but prior to the date of filing a bri | of will not be entered b | 0001100 |
| ٥. ட | (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see N | er, will <u>not</u> be entered b OTE helow): | ecause |
| | (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | OTE below), | |
| | (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | | reducina or simplifyina | the issues for |
| | appeal; and/or | ,,,,,,,,, | | |
| | (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally i | ejected claims. | |
| | NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. 🗀 | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non- | Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | • | |
| | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | e, timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| | non-allowable claim(s). | | • | • |
| 7. 🛚 | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the control of the control o | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ ' vided below or appended. | will be entered and an e | explanation of |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-14</u> . Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-20</u> . | | | |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| <u> AFFI</u> | DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | t before or on the date of filing a | Notice of Appeal will no | t be entered |
| | because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affid | avit or other evidence is | necessary and |
| 9. □ | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o | vercome all rejections under app | eal and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| | showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | y and was not earlier presented. | See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| 1). |
| | The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attach | ned. |
| | UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| | The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | | nce because: |
| | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | |
| 13. [∑ | Other: See attachment. | | 0 1 | |
| | | | | > |
| | | | Lin Ye | |
| | | | Primary Examiner | ~ |
| | | | Art Unit: 2622 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 5/26/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as to claims 15-20.

For claim 15, the applicant argues that the Stephenson reference (U.S. Patent 5,822,637) and Tanaka reference (U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0007169) do not disclose "a processor ... adapted to ... convert operation of a user interface in the digital camera", because once the camera 10 of Stephenson reference is attached to the printer 12, the camera display 18 is no longer operative as a user interface.

The examiner disagrees. The limitation recited in claim 15 is **only** required "**convert** operation of a user interface in the digital camera from an interface specific to the digital camera to an interface specific to the identified hosting device." The Stephenson reference clearly discloses once the camera (10) is attached to the printer (12), the camera display (18) as operation of a user interface in the digital camera is converted to an interface of printer for permitting light images from camera display to expose photosensitive sheet (42) (See Col. 3, lines 35-39 and Col. 4, lines 50-60).

It also should be noted that the camera 10 is attached to the printer 12, the camera display 18 is still as a user interface in the digital camera in order to **display image** for exposing photosensitive sheet.

2. The claims 15-20 will be rejected as set Final in the previous Office Action mailed on 3/28/06.

Art Unit: 2622

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lin Ye whose telephone number is (571) 272-7372. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lin Ye

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2622